


APPENDIX A
Written Responses in the Consultation and Representation Periods on the proposed expansion of The Fourfields Church of England School, Sutterton


Point of View	Supports Proposal	Against Proposal	Not For or Against	Other comments/Notes
Teacher/School Staff	ү	Proposal	Agailist	The school has been oversubscribed for a long time and the village is growing. Expanding the school is the right way forward to meet
reacher/school stail	•			the needs of the village/local community.
Teacher/School Staff	Υ			It will give more children the chance to attend their local village school.
Parent/Carer	Y			Very very pleased to see this is being consulted on. Currently the school is not giving pupils the best start, whilst the staff work very
raient/Carei	r			hard, it must be very difficult teaching 2 year groups at the same time in 1 classroom. I have children in the school and can see that
				splitting year groups does impact on the levels that children reach. For prospective applicants it is a worry as sometimes families who
Danant/Canan				live very close may miss out on a place.
Parent/Carer	Υ			Generally in favour, however there are concerns. 1) Having a class of 30 will not allow flexibility of more advanced pupils. There should
				be provisions for an advanced child to join an older year group, e.g. in Maths, a year 1 joining year 2 for their lesson. If the year 2 class
				is already at 30 then I assume this is not possible? 2) Is an expansion of 10 enough? The school is called "fourfields" but so far unless
				there are other priorities at play, people in Wigtoft have no chance of getting in. 3) The section 106 agreement for the approx. 256
				houses has been agreed at £1million (planning ref B/19/0383), this money should be paid in three stages outlined in the 106. Are we
				going to be back to square 1 once this development has been completed? Do you need to build/expand the school a second time and is
				the £1m going into Sutterton or redistributed around the county? 4) Please consider defining a proper catchment area to allow fair
				access for all of the fourfields, not just by straight-line distance, if not, then I suggest renaming the school to "Sutterton Primary
				School".
Other	Υ			Welcome the increase in capacity and growth of the school, providing increase in work opportunities for the local population.
Parent/Carer			Υ	Will make a big difference to the children, the teachers wouldn't have as much time to spend on each child. I have seen the issues with
				parking and the mess cars are making due to the parking area being so small. I see this will be considered though so that is a plus.
Parent/Carer	Υ			Existing classrooms are very small already and would not fit 30 children so this will need consideration. The other concern is traffic
				access and parking which I believe you will consider.
Teacher/School Staff	Υ			I think the proposal to extend Sutterton Fourfields will be positive both for the school and local community.
Teacher/School Staff	Υ			A fantastic opportunity to expand on an excellent school.
Teacher/School Staff	Υ			Fantastic for the area and the school.
Teacher/School Staff			Υ	Would be very good for the children for the ever-growing community which the school is a huge part of.
Local Authority	Υ			The proposal will support the provision for local families.
Teacher/School Staff	Υ			Very much needed as the school is in need of expansion and refurbishment.
Local Authority	Υ			Expansion would benefit the children of the five villages area and cut down on travelling distances.
Other	Υ			NIL
Local Authority	Υ			NIL

Other School	Y	The addition of two extra classrooms will allow the original smaller classroom to be used for intervention. It would be good for the new classrooms to have a door with direct access outside, which will also help on warm days. School will benefit from single year group classes as lessons can be pitched at one age range. More toilets are required as there currently isn't enough and there can be queues and not enough space. Extra students may create extra planning and work for staff. Some students may feel uncomfortable or overwhelmed with more people onsite. Concerns about it busy at lunchtime, although understand lunchtimes could be staggered.
Governor	Υ	NIL
Resident	Υ	Should have been done years ago, would have prevented busing students to other schools.
		Major concern is this plan does not account for 285+ village houses being built. Daily concerns of traffic problems around the school.
		Proposal of a drop off point directly off the Station Road within the school land to resolve the parking issue within the Park Estate
		currently.
Parent/Carer	Υ	Important that the school is expanded to cope with a PAN of 30. More important that each year group are in the same class to allow
		them to learn at the same level. Split year groups suffer when they are not all together, a child mixed with a younger year group does
		not progress as fast. We are told children are not split academically but it is quite clear that they are. This proposal will benefit the
		existing children at the school and not just new children in the future.
Other	Υ	The proposal will support the development of high quality church school education in the community.
Resident	Υ	No proposal for extra parking. Parking already causes chaos in Park Ave. Emergency vehicles cannot get through.
Resident	Υ	Residents cannot currently park outside own homes. Noise from cars and screaming children already a pain. With potentially 57
		children and vehicles it would be complete chaos in an already congested area. Sutterton residents have been left out of the loop with
		approved planning.









This page is intentionally left blank